

for publication
in Nature

(NASA TM 51244)

18p

ORGANIZATION OF THE DEOXYRIBONUCLEIC ACID REPLICATING SYSTEM IN
MAMMALIAN CELLS AS REVEALED BY STUDIES USING X-RADIATION
AND BROMURACIL DEOXYRIBOSIDE

X64 10929*

N65-89015

Code 2A

By ROBERT B. PAINTER and RONALD E. RASMUSSEN

~~Exobiology Division~~ NASA, Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, California

[1963] 18p ~~for~~ Submitted for Publication

In previous work (1) the action of X-radiation on deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) synthesis was shown to result in a dose-dependent depression of rate, as measured by incorporation of tritium-labeled thymidine (H^3Tdr). The dose-response curve consisted of two components, one showing a very steep slope, the other a much shallower one. In those studies incorporation of the tracer material was allowed to occur for 5 to 6 hours, so that not only cells in the process of DNA synthesis (S period) at the time of irradiation incorporated the tracer, but also those cells in the pre-DNA synthesis (G_1) phase during the irradiation that entered S during the tracer incubation. In most of the studies to be reported here, one hour incubations with tracers were used, so that predominantly S cells were labeled, and the contribution from cells in G_1 can be ignored.

Among these experiments are ones in which the analogue of thymidine, bromuracil deoxyriboside (BUDR), was incorporated into the DNA of the cells before the irradiation. The results of this treatment have suggested an interpretation of the dose-dependent depression of DNA synthesis rate by X-radiation and also permitted us to put forth a hypothesis concerning the sub-chromosomal organization of DNA.

Available to NASA Offices and
NASA Centers Only.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Two kinds of cell culture were used in these studies, HeLa S3 and the Chinese hamster line, DFAF-33, kindly furnished to us by Dr. George Yerganian. The HeLa S3 culture was cultured routinely in Eagle's medium and transferred weekly; the DFAF-33 was cultured either in Eagle's or in the HU-15 medium of Elkind (2), and transferred twice a week. For experiments, HeLa S3 cells were transferred, in Eagle's medium, at about $2-4 \times 10^4$ cells/ml, into any of 3 different kinds of culture vessels: T-30 flasks (5ml/flask), Leighton tubes (1ml/flask), or square centrifugable flasks (Bellco Glass, Vineland, N. J., 3ml/flask). DFAF-33 cells for experiments were always transferred into Eagle's medium, using the same array of culture vessels: Incubations of HeLa S3 prior to the irradiations varied from 3 to 5 days; for DFAF-33 they were 2 or 3 days. In 3 day experiments, the media were changed on the second day; in 5 day experiments they were changed on the third day.

Irradiations were performed with two different units. The first, used in the earlier experiments, was a 4ma, 250 KVP units, with no external filtering; the dose rate was 100r/minute. The other was a 20ma, 300 KVP unit, also operated without external filtering; the dose rate, measured inside a tissue culture vessel, was from 200-300r/minute, depending on the number of cultures irradiated. All irradiations took place on a rotating turntable, under conditions of minimal scatter, at room temperature.

Immediately after irradiation, the cultures, including the sham-irradiated controls, were returned to the laboratory, the media on them removed, and Eagle's medium containing the tracer added. The tracer used

in most of the work was H^3TdR , at $1\mu c/ml$ but the total thymidine of the medium was changed according to experimental requirements, by the addition of unlabeled thymidine. Tritium-labeled uridine (H^3UR) at $1c/mM$ and $C-^{14}guanine$ ($C^{14}G$) at $3mc/mM$ were also used at various times. All compounds were obtained from New England Nuclear Corporation. After the 37° incubation period (generally one hour with exceptions as indicated later), the medium was rapidly removed from all cultures, and the cells processed to determine the specific activity of DNA.

Three different methods for DNA extraction were used. One method, previously described by us (1) was essentially that of Ogur and Rosen (3) using perchloric acid (PCA) to hydrolyze both RNA and DNA. The second was the Schmidt-Thannhauser method (4), using overnight incubation with $1N$ $NaOH$ at 37° to hydrolyze RNA and PCA at $70^\circ C$ for 1 hour to release DNA. The third was an adaptation of the method of Scott, et al (5), which uses $1N$ $NaOH$ for one hour at room temperature to obtain RNA hydrolysis with PCA at $60^\circ C$ for only 7 minutes to obtain the DNA fraction. All methods gave qualitatively the same results but the latter method gave the best reproducibility, i.e., the lowest variability among similarly treated cultures. In all cases the DNA of each flask was estimated by reading the optical density of the DNA fraction at $267m\mu$, using a Beckman DU Spectrophotometer and semi-micro (volume about $1.5ml$) cuvettes (Pyrocell Corp., N. Y.). The radioactivity of the fractions was determined by dissolving 0.5 or 1.0 ml of the sample in 10 or 15 ml of counting solution (13g PPO, 0.25g POPOP and 130g Naphthalene in a mixture of 1 liter toluene, 1 liter dioxane and 600 ml ethanol), and counting in a Packard Tri Carb

Liquid Scintillation Spectrometer. When H^3 and C^{14} were in the same samples, the efficiency of each isotope for each channel was determined using H^3 - and C^{14} -toluene standards and the separate contributions of each isotope to the final counts calculated by means of simultaneous equations (1).

In experiments with bromuracil deoxyriboside (BUdR), experimental cultures were set up as usual; three days later the medium of one-half of the culture tubes was removed and replaced with Eagle's medium containing $5\mu g/ml$ BUdR ($1.6 \times 10^{-5} M$), and the medium of the other one-half of the tubes was replaced with Eagle's containing an equimolar amount of thymidine ($4\mu g/ml$). After two additional days incubation, these media were removed, the cells washed once with Hank's balanced salt solution (HBSS), and HBSS was added to the flasks and gassed with 5% CO_2 , 95% air mixture. The flasks were then irradiated, returned immediately to the laboratory, the HBSS removed from them and replaced with Eagle's medium containing the radiosotope(s).

RESULTS

The results of 14 experiments using $1\mu c/ml$ H^3 TdR as the tracer for DNA synthesis in HeLa S3 cells are plotted in Figure 1. The concentration of thymidine varied from 1.5×10^{-5} to $4 \times 10^{-2} M$, i.e., the specific activity of the H^3 TdR in these experiments varied from 24mc/mM to 6700mc/mM. There is no consistent effect of specific activity. The variability that is evident from the scatter is much more the result of dose-response differences between experiments than from heterogeneity within an experiment. The maximum and minimum total inhibitions are plotted by extrapolation to

zero dose it appears that the fraction of the total inhibition due to the steep component varies between roughly 25 and 55 per cent. Two experiments with DFAF-33, shown in Figure 2, demonstrate a similar variability, which is comparable to that reported by Lajtha, et al for bone marrow in culture (6). Results with low specific activity C^{14} -guanine at $10\mu\text{g/ml}$, used in double-labeling experiments with $H^3\text{TdR}$, are practically identical with those obtained with $H^3\text{TdR}$, and assure that the steep component is not due to a release of pool materials that compete with tracer for sites in DNA.

The D_0 of the shallow component of the curve varies as much as two-fold if values of individual experiments are plotted. However, the range of values from about 1.8 to $4 \times 10^4 \text{r}$ affects the estimated size of the hypothetical target only by approximately two. On the other hand, although it is rather difficult to measure exactly the average D_0 of the steep component, it is so small, certainly less than 500r, that the resulting target volume must be very large.

The apparent extent of depression of DNA synthesis by X-radiation increases as a function of time of incubation with tracer. This is illustrated Table I and is similar to the results reported previously (1). This observation indicates that cells that are in the G_1 compartment during irradiation and enter the S period during the next 3 to 4 hours are affected roughly the same, in terms of rate of DNA synthesis, as cells in S at the time of irradiation.

A typical result of the effect of BUdR incorporated into DNA on the dose-response of DNA synthesis is shown in Figure 3; the dose-response

curve shows an increased incorporation of isotope into DNA over control values at low doses, followed by a dose-response practically identical to that observed with thymidine-grown cells. In order to further establish the reality of this difference, an experiment was performed using 5 replicate flasks per treatment, with controls and only two low doses, 52 and 162r. The results (Table II) show that these small doses slightly but significantly depressed the incorporation into DNA of thymidine-grown cells, but had no effect on the BUdR grown cells. It is important to note that the effect of BUdR in sham-control cultures is to very markedly decrease the rate of DNA synthesis. The enhanced incorporation of H^3 TdR into DNA by low doses of X-radiation occurred in about one-half the experiments and, in every instance except one (among 10 experiments), the effect of BUdR was to decrease the extent of depression of incorporation by small doses. We have never observed enhanced incorporation under any other circumstances. At higher doses the results paralleled those of normally grown or thymidine-grown cells so that there is no apparent effect on the second component of the dose response. It is possible that a subtle effect exists in this area, but if so, it is hidden in the variability of the response.

DISCUSSION

The two component dose-response curve is similar to those reported by Lajtha and co-workers for bone marrow in culture (6), for bone marrow and ascites tumor cells by Jasinska and Michalowski (7), and for rat thymus by Ord and Stocken (8). A number of theories have been proposed to explain these results. In thymus, Ord and Stocken attribute the steep fall

(corresponding to the steep or "S₁" component of the two part curve) to inhibition of nuclear phosphorylation, but this explanation, even if it were a general one (which it appears not to be), still does not explain the site of the damage. Although damage to an enzyme has been suggested (6), this seems highly unlikely in terms of target theory, which would indicate that the molecular weight of the hypothetical enzyme would be in the order of 10^9 , clearly much greater than molecular weights of known enzymes.

Our results with BUdR lead us to an alternative explanation. This competitor of thymidine for DNA thymine sites depresses the rate of DNA synthesis, and since it is no longer available for incorporation from the medium at the time of this inhibition, it is the BUdR in the DNA, and presumably in those areas acting as templates for new synthesis, that contributes to this rate depression. A small dose of X-radiation, in many instances, partially reverses this inhibition. We propose that the incorporated BUdR distorts the organization of a large organized component of the DNA replicating system that is necessary to maintain the maximal rate of replication of DNA. The size of this is estimated to be in the order of $10^9 - 10^{10}$, since a small dose (500r) of x-rays can affect it. The effect of a single hit on the BUdR-substituted DNA is to sometimes make a new site available for synthesis so that a stimulation of rate may be observed. On the whole, however, the effect is to further the disorganization of this "super molecule", and so larger doses result in depression of rate.

The concept of very high molecular weight DNA is not new. The work of Davison (9), showing the effects of shear on molecular weight determinations, has stimulated a great deal of recent work in this area. In general, the concept has evolved that the molecular weight of native DNA is very much larger than the often quoted $1-8 \times 10^6$ found in the older literature. The researches of Cairns (10) and of Kleinschmidt, et al (11), have led to the concept that all the DNA in a bacterium like Escherichia coli (at least all the DNA in one nucleus therein) is in the form of a single large molecule. Interestingly, the molecular weight of this DNA is of the order of 10^9 .

According to Lee and Puck (12), the average content of DNA of the HeLa cell is 17 picograms, or a total molecular weight of DNA per cell of about 10^{13} . This is distributed, probably unequally, among 78 (on the average) chromosomes. Taking one of the smaller chromosomes to contain roughly 1/100th of the total DNA of the cell, its total DNA would be about 10^{11} . The target estimate of the large molecule of DNA involved in regulating rate of DNA synthesis is 10^9 to 10^{10} , so the chromosome would contain 10 to 100 of these components. That chromosomes do contain several "replicating units" is borne out by autoradiographic studies that have shown DNA synthesis occurring simultaneously at two or more sites in a chromosome, while other parts of it show no evidence of replication (13, 14, 15).

Even if the integrity of this large unit is completely destroyed (as by inactivation with X-rays) DNA synthesis continues, but at a considerably diminished rate (shallow component), and only very large doses of

radiation, capable of inactivating that DNA acting as a "template", can significantly depress the rate further. These data indicate that DNA of molecular weight of about 10^7 is "activated" for replication at any one time.

Our interpretation is strengthened by the results of Lehnert and Okada (16), who have shown that an effect of X-radiation on DNA synthesis rate in nuclei of regenerating rat liver can be observed as long as protein is still in association with DNA, but no effect is found with purified DNA alone. Walwick and Main (17), have also reported no effect of ionizing radiation, up to 10,000r, on the rate of DNA synthesis in a cell-free system using purified DNA as primer. These kinds of studies point out the limitations of in vitro biochemical methods, wherein maximum mixing of components and completely unorganized systems are used, as a means for determining effects on the highly ordered state of sub-cellular organization. It is probable that when purified "primer" DNA is used in in vitro reactions it is at a much lower molecular weight than in the cell. Moreover, it is removed from restrictions on its reaction probability by being free of protein, now greatly implicated in the function of DNA as a template for RNA (particularly "messenger" RNA) synthesis (18, 19, 20). It is not surprising that ionizing radiation cannot reduce the efficiency of DNA as a primer under these conditions where there is at all times a maximum likelihood of its interaction with the enzyme and precursors. Indeed, if the action of ionizing radiation is primarily to reduce the size of the primer unit, it could conceivably take enough radiation to reduce the average molecular weight to

-10-

somewhere less than 10,000, which is the molecular weight of yeast lactic dehydrogenase-associated DNA (21, 22), shown to act as a primer for in vitro DNA replication (23).

REFERENCES

- 1 Painter, R. B., Radiation Research 16, 846 (1962).
- 2 Elkind, M. M. and Sutton, H., Radiation Research 13, 556 (1960).
- 3 Ogur, M. and Rosen, G., Arch. Biochem. 25, 262 (1950).
- 4 Schmidt, G. and Thannhauser, S. J., J. Biol. Chem. 161, 83 (1945).
- 5 Scott, J. F., Fraccastoro, A. P., and Taft, E. P., J. Hystochem. Cytochem. 4, 1 (1956).
- 6 Lajtha, L. G., Oliver, R., Berry, R., and Noyes, W. D., Nature 182, 1788 (1958).
- 7 Jasinska, J. and Michalowski, A., Nature 196, 1326 (1962).
- 8 Ord, M. G. and Stocken, L. A., Nature 182, 1787 (1958).
- 9 Davison, P. F., Nature 185, 918 (1960).
- 10 Cairns, J., J. Mol. Biol. 4, 407 (1962).
- 11 Kleinschmidt, A., Lang, D., and Kahn, R. K., Z. Naturf. 16b, 730 (1961).
- 12 Lee, H. H. and Puck, T. T., Radiation Research 12, 340 (1960).
- 13 Painter, R. B., J. Biophys. Biochem. Cytol. 11, 485 (1961).
- 14 Stubblefield, E. and Mueller, G. C., Cancer Research 22, 1091 (1962).
- 15 Taylor, J. H., J. Biophys. Biochem. Cytol. 7, 455 (1960).
- 16 Lehnert, S. M. and Okada, S., Nature 199, 1108 (1963).
- 17 Walwick, E. R. and Main, R. K., Biochim. Biophys. Acta 55, 225 (1962).
- 18 Huang, R. C. and Bonner, J., Proc. (U.S.) Nat. Acad. Sci. 48, 1216 (1962).
- 19 Allfrey, V. G., Littau, V. C., and Mirvsky, A. E., Proc. (U.S.) Nat. Acad. Sci. 49, 414 (1963).
- 20 Hindley, J., Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 12, 175 (1963).
- 21 Appleby, C. A. and Morton, R. K., Biochem. J. 71, 492 (1959).

REFERENCES (Cont'd)

- 22 Mahler, H. R. and Pereira, A. J. S., J. Mol. Biol. 5, 325 (1962).
- 23 Bollum, F. J., Progress in Nucleic Acid Research. Vol. 1 ed. J. N. Davidson and W. E. Cohn, p. 1. Academic Press, New York, N. Y. 1963.

TABLE I

EFFECT OF POST-IRRADIATION INCUBATION TIME ON THE
RADIATION-DEPRESSED INCORPORATION OF H^3 TdR INTO DNA

Experiment Number	r Dose	Specific Activity cpm/ μ g DNA			
		1 Hour Incubation	% Depression	3 or 4 Hour* Incubation	% Depression
1	0	377	-	1090	-
	100	342	9	808	26
	800	295	22	602	45
2	0	332	-	1062	-
	500	274	17	634	42
	5000	177	47	346	68

* Incubation time following irradiation in Experiment 1 was 4 hours; in
Experiment 2 it was 3 hours.

TABLE II

EFFECT OF LOW DOSES OF X-RADIATION ON UPTAKE OF TRITIATED
 THYMIDINE INTO DNA OF THYMIDINE-GROWN CELLS AND
 BROMURACIL DEOXYRIBOSIDE-GROWN CELLS

<u>Dose(r)</u>	Sp. Act. of TdR- Grown Cells	% Change	Sp. Act. of BUdR- Grown Cells	% Change
0(control)	1112 ⁺ ₄₄	-	678 ⁺ ₂₇	-
52	1008 ⁺ ₅₀	- 9)	685 ⁺ ₁₈	+ 1)
162	980 ⁺ ₆₀	-12)	716 ⁺ ₄₂	+ 5)

* Pooled means change in TdR-grown cells differs significantly from that
 of BUdR-grown cells; $t(18d.f.)=3.06$; $P(\bar{X}_{TdR}-\bar{X}_{BUdR}) < 0.01$


CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Dose-response of HeLa S3 DNA synthesis to X-radiation. A composite of 14 experiments, using H^3 TdR of various specific activities. Each point is average of either two, three, or four individual determinations. Data plotted as per cent of sham-irradiated controls, based on tritium counts per minute per microgram DNA.


Figure 2. Dose-response of DFAF-33 DNA synthesis to X-radiation, illustrating variation in participation of steep component.


Figure 3. Effect of bromuracil deoxyriboside (BUdR) on dose-response of HeLa S3 DNA synthesis to X-radiation. BUdR cultures grown in presence of $5\mu\text{g/ml}$ BUdR, controls in presence of $4\mu\text{g/ml}$ thymidine (TdR), for 48 hours prior to irradiation. All data plotted relative to specific activity of TdR grown control.

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION
AMES RESEARCH CENTER



DFAF-33





A-30926-10

I.R.

R. PAINTER
WISC
MAY 1963

12/5
12/6

XERO COPY

XERO COPY

XERO COPY